

P26809.D01



Serial No.: 10/604,181

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re patent application of

Docket No. P26809

T. J. DALTON et al.

Confirmation No. 1180

Serial No.: 10/604,181

Group Art Unit: No. 1756

Filed: October 28, 2003

Examiner: C. G. Young

For: **METHOD FOR DRY ETCHING PHOTOMASK MATERIAL****DECLARATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.131**

Sir:

We, Shaun B. Crawford, Timothy J. Dalton, Thomas B. Faure, Cuc K. Huynh and Michelle L. Steen do hereby declare:

1. We are co-inventors of the subject matter disclosed and recited in independent claims 1 and 20 of the above-identified application.
2. We completed the invention of claims 1 and 20 (and those claims dependent thereon) in the United States before March 21, 2003, as evidenced below.

CONCEPTION

3. Before March 21, 2003, we conceived of a photomask and a method for manufacturing a photomask as disclosed and recited in claims 1 and 20 of the application, an embodiment of which is evidenced by IBM Invention Disclosure BUR8-2002-0205 (hereinafter referred to as "the Invention Disclosure") attached hereto as Exhibit A. The Invention Disclosure attached hereto is a photocopy of and are identical to the originals, except that all pertinent dates have been removed therefrom.

4. All relevant dates removed from the Invention Disclosure and other attached documents attached hereto are before March 21, 2003.

5. As evidenced in the attached documents including the Invention Disclosure, the Inventors conceived a method for manufacturing a photomask comprising steps of forming an opaque layer (i.e., a chrome layer) on a substrate, forming a resist layer on a portion of the opaque layer, and etching the opaque layer by using a gas mixture which enhances the etching selectivity that can be obtained during etching of the chrome opaque layer and reduce the macroloading effect characteristic to etching the chrome. This is accomplished by utilizing a selectivity approximately equal to or higher than 1.2:1 between the opaque layer and the resist layer.

7. The benefits and features of the photomask and method of manufacture are shown and described in the Invention Disclosure and accompanying documents.

8. These features and others are exemplified in the figures accompanying the Invention Disclosure.

DUE DILIGENCE

9. At least inventors Michelle Steen and Tom Faure communicated with outside patent counsel, Andrew M. Calderon, in preparing a patent application based on the Invention Disclosure.

10. We worked diligently on the preparation of the patent application with outside patent counsel Andrew M. Calderon until a final draft patent application was completed to our satisfaction. Communications took place between at least one of the Inventors and Mr. Calderon and/or Mr. Calderon's Assistant Wonsuck Choi on at least May 6, 7, 12, 15, 20, 28 and 29, as well as June 5 and 7, 2003. A final draft of the application was forwarded to IBM in-house patent counsel, Richard Kotulak, who

received the final draft from attorney Calderon in a letter dated June 12, 2003.

11. The final draft of the patent application was filed in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on June 30, 2003 by IBM counsel.

12. We declare that all statements made herein of our own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further, that the statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

Shaun B. Crawford

Timothy J. Dalton

Thomas B. Faure

Quc K. Huynh

Michelle L. Steen

Date

7/1/05

Date

Date

Date

6/30/05

Date

P26809.D01



Serial No.: 10/604,181

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re patent application of

Docket No. P26809

T. J. DALTON et al.

Confirmation No. 1180

Serial No.: 10/604,181

Group Art Unit: No. 1756

Filed: October 28, 2003

Examiner: C. G. Young

For: **METHOD FOR DRY ETCHING PHOTOMASK MATERIAL****DECLARATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.131**

Sir:

We, Shaun B. Crawford, Timothy J. Dalton, Thomas B. Faure, Cuc K. Huynh and Michelle L. Steen do hereby declare:

1. We are co-inventors of the subject matter disclosed and recited in independent claims 1 and 20 of the above-identified application.

2. We completed the invention of claims 1 and 20 (and those claims dependent thereon) in the United States before March 21, 2003, as evidenced below.

CONCEPTION

3. Before March 21, 2003, we conceived of a photomask and a method for manufacturing a photomask as disclosed and recited in claims 1 and 20 of the application, an embodiment of which is evidenced by IBM Invention Disclosure BUR8-2002-0205 (hereinafter referred to as "the Invention Disclosure") attached hereto as Exhibit A. The Invention Disclosure attached hereto is a photocopy of and are identical to the originals, except that all pertinent dates have been removed therefrom.

4. All relevant dates removed from the Invention Disclosure and other attached documents attached hereto are before March 21, 2003.

5. As evidenced in the attached documents including the Invention Disclosure, the Inventors conceived a method for manufacturing a photomask comprising steps of forming an opaque layer (i.e., a chrome layer) on a substrate, forming a resist layer on a portion of the opaque layer, and etching the opaque layer by using a gas mixture which enhances the etching selectivity that can be obtained during etching of the chrome opaque layer and reduce the macroloading effect characteristic to etching the chrome. This is accomplished by utilizing a selectivity approximately equal to or higher than 1.2:1 between the opaque layer and the resist layer.

7. The benefits and features of the photomask and method of manufacture are shown and described in the Invention Disclosure and accompanying documents.

8. These features and others are exemplified in the figures accompanying the Invention Disclosure.

DUE DILIGENCE

9. At least inventors Michelle Steen and Tom Faure communicated with outside patent counsel, Andrew M. Calderon, in preparing a patent application based on the Invention Disclosure.

10. We worked diligently on the preparation of the patent application with outside patent counsel Andrew M. Calderon until a final draft patent application was completed to our satisfaction. Communications took place between at least one of the Inventors and Mr. Calderon and/or Mr. Calderon's Assistant Wonsuck Choi on at least May 6, 7, 12, 15, 20, 28 and 29, as well as June 5 and 7, 2003. A final draft of the application was forwarded to IBM in-house patent counsel, Richard Kotulak, who

received the final draft from attorney Calderon in a letter dated June 12, 2003.

11. The final draft of the patent application was filed in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on June 30, 2003 by IBM counsel.

12. We declare that all statements made herein of our own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further, that the statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.



Shaun B. Crawford




Date

Timothy J. Dalton


Date



Thomas B. Faure



Date



Cuc K. Huynh



Date

Michelle L. Steen

Date



Main Idea for Disclosure BUR8- 213

Prepared for and/or by an IBM Attorney - IBM Confidential

Archived On

Title of disclosure (in English)

Improve CD Uniformity of Chrome Etch with CO₂/O₂ addition to the Photomask Process

Main Idea of disclosure

1. Background: What is the problem solved by your invention? Describe known solutions to this problem (if any). What are the drawbacks of such known solutions, or why is an additional solution required? Cite any relevant technical documents or references.

This disclosure was SEARCH RATED at the Mask House Scrub Session attended by Rick Kotulak (attorney) and Tom Faure - Emily Fisch (SBC).

In addition, this disclosure will be FILE / MERGED as a preferred embodiment into disclosure (attached below for reference and embedded into the disclosure write-up below in blue font where appropriate).



BUR8200L0205.lwr

PROBLEM

This invention relates to dry etching and more particularly to methods for dry etching of metals or metal compounds or other materials that can be anisotropically etched using gaseous mixtures that contain chlorine with carbon dioxide, or alternately chlorine and carbon monoxide, as the etchant species.

High CD Uniformity caused by the range between the KERF and Internal Array. Using CO₂/O₂ in the plasma chrome dry etch, the CD Uniformity and Range of the PCI measurements across the mask improves (gas ratios and etch data attached below).

BACKGROUND

High CD Uniformity has always been, in the past, the limiting factor of the Mask house to meet the customer's uniformity specification. This issue of not meeting the 3 sigma specification has kept the Mask house from meeting the Wafer line Lithography water fall chart for CD Uniformity. Most recent enhancement to the Mask etch process is to add 8mm Clear Bars to the outside of the Kerf frame. The addition of CO₂/O₂ to the plasma Cr dry etch process yields further improvements to the CD Uniformity and PCI ranges values instead of just using Clear Bars and is disclosed in this document.

2. Summary of Invention: Briefly describe the core idea of your invention (saving the details for questions #3 below). Describe the advantage(s) of using your invention instead of the known solutions described above.

INVENTION SUMMARY

Dry etching of layers of material for subsequent use as either photolithographic, X-ray, or e-beam masks, is important, for example in conjunction with fabricating semiconductor integrated circuits or other devices.

Such masks are used for pattern transfer from the mask to the device. The mask is formed by patterning an opaque layer on a transmitting substrate according to a desired pattern. The opaque layers masks are commonly chromium, chromium-containing compounds, molybdenum alloy, and tungsten. For example, a conventional mask comprises a structure in which a chromium layer, which is an opaque layer, is formed on a light-transmitting substrate, such as a quartz substrate. Furthermore, a chromium oxide layer for preventing reflection by the chromium layer may be formed on the chromium layer. An organic resist is then formed on the chromium or chromium oxide layer, and the resist is patterned, as by optical or electron beam scanning followed by wet developing. A dry etching method is typically used to remove the exposed chromium oxide/ chromium layer by means of a plasma process using a gaseous mixture of chlorine and oxygen as the etchant species. Finally, the resist layer is removed.

A problem with the foregoing process is a low selectivity of the plasma etching of chrome layer relative to the etching of the resist. The low selectivity between the resist and the chrome layer requires the thickness of the resist layer to be undesirably high, thereby limiting the resolution of the patterned chrome layer. Furthermore, the selectivity between the chrome and the resist layer is highly sensitive to the amount of chrome exposed to the etchant species as a sharp decline in the selectivity is observed with increasing chrome load. In the process of the present invention, the patterned is transferred from the resist into the underlying chromium layer using a plasma gas mixture containing chlorine and carbon dioxide, or alternately, chlorine and carbon monoxide to enhance the etching selectivity that can be obtained during etching of the chrome layer and reduce the macroloading effect characteristic to etching chrome in a chlorine-based etch chemistry.

CO₂ gas was added to the mask etch chemistry, and the current POR O₂ and He flow ratio was adjusted, to optimize the the Plasma Chrome Dry etch process (CD Uniformity, selectivity, etc.). The above adjustments resulted in dry etch improvements that were confirmed through Mask House Engineering experiments. Supporting post-etch mask measurement data is detailed in the files below and will be incorporated into disclosure BUR8- 0205; docket BUR9- 0030:



GPUL PC data.123 EMU Exp matix.123

One theory behind why the above improvements are observed is that the CO₂/O₂ ratio makes the micro loading effects less sensitive to High and Low Resist load density area.

3. Description: Describe how your invention works, and how it could be implemented, using text, diagrams and flow charts as appropriate.
see above

IDT Team

Tom Faure/Burlington/IBM
Emily Fisch/Burlington/IBM

Response Due to IP&L

1. Describe your invention, stating the problem solved (if appropriate), and indicating the advantages of using the invention.

This invention relates to dry etching and more particularly to methods for dry etching of metals or metal compounds or other materials that can be anisotropically etched using gaseous mixtures that contain chlorine with carbon dioxide, or alternately chlorine and carbon monoxide, as the etchant species.

2. How does the invention solve the problem or achieve an advantage, (a description of "the invention", including figures inline as appropriate)?

Dry-etching of layers of material for subsequent use as either photolithographic, X-ray, or e-beam masks, is important, for example in conjunction with fabricating semiconductor integrated circuits or other devices. Such masks are used for pattern transfer from the mask to the device. The mask is formed by patterning an opaque layer on a transmitting substrate according to a desired pattern. The opaque layers masks are commonly chromium, chromium-containing compounds, molybdenum alloy, and tungsten. For example, a conventional mask comprises a structure in which a chromium layer, which is an opaque layer, is formed on a light-transmitting substrate, such as a quartz substrate. Furthermore, a chromium oxide layer for preventing reflection by the chromium layer may be formed on the chromium layer. An organic resist is then formed on the chromium or chromium oxide layer, and the resist is patterned, as by optical or electron beam scanning followed by wet developing. A dry etching method is typically used to remove the exposed chromium oxide/ chromium layer by means of a plasma process using a gaseous mixture of chlorine and oxygen as the etchant species. Finally, the resist layer is removed.

A problem with the foregoing process is a low selectivity of the plasma etching of chrome layer relative to the etching of the resist. The low selectivity between the resist and the chrome layer requires the thickness of the resist layer to be undesirably high, thereby limiting the resolution of the patterned chrome layer. Furthermore, the selectivity between the chrome and the resist layer is highly sensitive to the amount of chrome exposed to the etchant species as a sharp decline in the selectivity is observed with increasing chrome load. In the process of the present invention, the patterned is transferred from the resist into the underlying chromium layer using a plasma gas mixture containing chlorine and carbon dioxide, or alternately, chlorine and carbon monoxide to enhance the etching selectivity that can be obtained during etching of the chrome layer and reduce the macroloading effect characteristic to etching chrome in a chlorine-based etch chemistry.

3. If the same advantage or problem has been identified by others (inside/outside IBM), how have those others solved it and does your solution differ and why is it better?

Chemically-amplified resist or e-beam resist is mainly used as the photoresist layer. These resist materials have a low etching selectivity with respect to the chrome layer, however, the aforementioned problems associated with dry etching chrome using a gaseous mixture of chlorine and oxygen are still observed. In addition, gaseous mixtures of nitrogen, chlorine, and oxygen or, alternately helium, chlorine, and oxygen, have been used to reduce the macroloading effect associated with dry etching chrome, however, the chrome to resist selectivity obtained with these chemistries is less than that for chlorine and oxygen.

4. If the invention is implemented in a product or prototype, include technical details, purpose, disclosure details to others and the date of that implementation.

N/A

*** Question 1**

On what date was the invention workable?

Please format the date as MM/DD/YYYY

(Workable means i.e. when you know that your design will solve the problem)

*** Question 2**

Is there any planned or actual publication or disclosure of your invention to anyone outside IBM?


No

CO₂/O₂ Cr Etch Evaluation


9S2 GPUL PC layer

- High O₂ (40 sccm) yields higher etch rates for Cr and Resist; but worst selectivity
- CO₂ only addition to Cl₂ gas chemistry does not improve selectivity of Cr:Resist
- Selectivity improves w/ higher ratio of CO₂ to O₂ Gas Flow (1.2:1 for Cr:Resist)
- At high CO₂ vs O₂ gas ratio: resist rate drops dramatically and results in highest selectivity obtained for such a large Cr area (greater than 8000mm²)
(See graphs on page 2 & 3)
- Best 3 Sigma and Range observed with "EMU" process (under 10 nm & 20 nm respectively, see graph on page 4 & 5)
- Stable, clean etch process: uniformity improvement has been observed for nVidia, Xilinx and U3 PC layers. All at or under 10nm for 3 sigma.
- All PC build layers with EMU process have been stocked on "zero" iteration
- Endpoint time is equivalent to POR (RAT2X) for such high Cr etch area (see graph on page 6)

Plot of CD data in Y-direction (DUV KMS Measurements)




Plot of Selectivity vs Cr and Resist Etch Rates




BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Plot of Selectivity vs CD uniformity



Plot of CD data in X-direction (DUV KMS Measurements)



CO₂/O₂ Cr Etch Evaluation

9S2 GPUL PC layer


High O₂ (40 sccm) yields higher etch rates for Cr and Resist; but worst selectivity CO₂ only addition to Cl₂ gas chemistry does not improve selectivity of Cr:Resist Selectivity improves w/ higher ratio of CO₂ to O₂ Gas Flow (1.2:1 for Cr:Resist) At high CO₂ vs O₂ gas ratio: resist rate drops dramatically and results in highest selectivity obtained for such a large Cr area (greater than 8000mm²) (See graphs on page 2 & 3)

Best 3 Sigma and Range observed with "EMU" process (under 10nm & 20nm) respectively, see graph on page 4 & 5)


Stable, clean etch process: uniformity improvement has been observed for nVidia, Xilinx and U3 PC layers. All at or under 10nm for 3 sigma.

All PC build layers with EMU process have been stocked on "zero" iteration


Endpoint time is equivalent to (PCRU)(RAT2X) for such high Cr etch area (see graph on page 6)




POR No Bars



Bars with Hi O₂



POR with Bars



Bars with Co₂/O₂



Disclosure BUR8-2002-0205

Prepared for and/or by an IBM Attorney - IBM Confidential

Created By Michelle Steen

Last Modified By Maura Mulligan

Required fields are marked with the asterisk (*) and must be filled in to complete the form .

*Title of disclosure (in English)

Process for Dry Etching Photomask Materials

Summary

Status	Final Decision	(File)
Final Deadline		
Final Deadline Reason		
Docket Family	BUR9-2003-0030	
*Processing Location	Burlington	
*Functional Area	select	
Attorney/Patent Professional	Richard kotulak/Burlington/IBM	
IDT Team	select	Tom Faure/Burlington/IBM Emily Fisch/Burlington/IBM
Submitted Date		
*Owning Division	select	MD
Incentive Program		
Lab	Tivnan	
*Technology Code	101J3	
PVT Score	21	

Inventors with a Blue Pages entry

Inventors: Michelle Steen/Watson/IBM, Tom Faure/Burlington/IBM, Timothy Dalton/Fishkill/IBM

Inventor Name	Inventor Serial	Div/Dept	Inventor Phone	Manager Name
> Steen, Michelle L.	4A8576	22/K9UH	862-2755	Dalton, Timothy J.
Fauré, Thomas B. (Tom)	310422	29/OBSA	446-3984	Levy, Max G.
Dalton, Timothy J.	909412	29/FVCA	532-4747	Seeger, David E.

> denotes primary contact

Inventors without a Blue Pages entry

IDT Selection

Attorney/Patent Professional Richard kotulak/Burlington/IBM

IDT Team Tom Faure/Burlington/IBM
Emily Fisch/Burlington/IBM

Response Due to IP&L

***Main Idea**

1. Describe your invention, stating the problem solved (if appropriate), and indicating the advantages of using the invention.

This invention relates to dry etching and more particularly to methods for dry etching of metals or metal compounds or other materials that can be anisotropically etched using gaseous mixtures that contain chlorine with carbon dioxide, or alternately chlorine and carbon monoxide, as the etchant species.

2. How does the invention solve the problem or achieve an advantage, (a description of "the invention", including figures inline as appropriate)?

Dry etching of layers of material for subsequent use as either photolithographic, X-ray, or e-beam masks, is important, for example in conjunction with fabricating semiconductor integrated circuits or other devices. Such masks are used for pattern transfer from the mask to the device. The mask is formed by patterning an opaque layer on a transmitting substrate according to a desired pattern. The opaque layers masks are commonly chromium, chromium containing compounds, molybdenum alloy, and tungsten. For example, a conventional mask comprises a structure in which a chromium layer, which is an opaque layer, is formed on a light-transmitting substrate, such as a quartz substrate. Furthermore, a chromium oxide layer for preventing reflection by the chromium layer may be formed on the chromium layer. An organic resist is then formed on the chromium or chromium oxide layer, and the resist is patterned, as by optical or electron beam scanning followed by wet developing. A dry etching method is typically used to remove the exposed chromium/oxide/ chromium layer by means of a plasma process using a gaseous mixture of chlorine and oxygen as the etchant species. Finally, the resist layer is removed.

A problem with the foregoing process is a low selectivity of the plasma etching of chrome layer relative to the etching of the resist. The low selectivity between the resist and the chrome layer requires the thickness of the resist layer to be undesirably high, thereby limiting the resolution of the patterned chrome layer. Furthermore, the selectivity between the chrome and the resist layer is highly sensitive to the amount of chrome exposed to the etchant species as a sharp decline in the selectivity is observed with increasing chrome load. In the process of the present invention, the patterned is transferred from the resist into the underlying chromium layer using a plasma gas mixture containing chlorine and carbon dioxide, or alternately, chlorine and carbon monoxide to enhance the etching selectivity that can be obtained during etching of the chrome layer and reduce the macroloading effect characteristic to etching chrome in a chlorine-based etch chemistry.

3. If the same advantage or problem has been identified by others (inside/outside IBM), how have those others solved it and does your solution differ and why is it better?

Chemically-amplified resist or e-beam resist is mainly used as the photoresist layer. These resist materials have a low etching selectivity with respect to the chrome layer, however, the aforementioned problems associated with dry etching chrome using a gaseous mixture of chlorine and oxygen are still observed. In addition, gaseous mixtures of nitrogen, chlorine, and oxygen or, alternately helium, chlorine, and oxygen, have been used to reduce the macroloading effect associated with dry etching chrome, however, the chrome to resist selectivity obtained with these chemistries is less than that for chlorine and oxygen.

4. If the invention is implemented in a product or prototype, include technical details, purpose, disclosure details to others and the date of that implementation.

N/A

***Critical Questions (Questions 1-9 must be answered in English)**

***Question 1**

On what date was the invention workable? Please format the date as MM/DD/YYYY (Workable means i.e. when you know that your design will solve the problem)

***Question 2**

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ **BLACK BORDERS**
- ☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- ☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- ☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- ☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- ☐ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- ☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- ☐ **OTHER:** _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.